







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,512	12/26/2000	Jean-Pierre Giacalone	TI-31754	4129	
23494	7590 03/10/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			СНАИНАМ	CHAUHAN, ULKA J	
DALLAS, T	5474, M/S 3999 'X 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2676		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

(D)

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/748,512	GIACALONE ET AL.			
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ulka J. Chauhan	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>					
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) Key raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: The amendment to the claims requires fu	urther search and consideration.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:					
		Ulka J. Chauhan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2676			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)